Weqas Unit 6, Parc Tŷ Glas Llanishen, Cardiff, CF14 5DU

Tel: 02920 314750 Fax: 02920 314760 Email: contact@weqas.com



EXTERNAL QUALITY ASSESSMENT



INTERNAL QUALITY CONTROL



REFERENCE MEASUREMENT SERVICES



EDUCATION & TRAINING

Weqas

GLOBAL PROVIDER OF QUALITY IN DIAGNOSTIC MEDICINE

Laboratory Based Case Studies and Questions

Annette Thomas & Gareth Davies

Problem Solving Checklist

Analyte	SDI Score	Precision r, IS,Sy.x,	Accuracy m,c	Previous dist.	ldentify error	Possible Cause	Analyte

Weqas

Lab Code: ## · Section: ###### · Instrument: Cobas C Module

Scheme: Ser	um Chem	istry. Dist	ribution C	ode: SC0	622.		
Distribution I	Date: 30/0	5/22. Fina	I. Report I	ssued: 1/0	7/22		Total Error
Calcium (mmol/l)		1	2	3	4	Analyte SDI	
Reported Result		2.61	2.13	1.68	2.79		SDI is a measur
Method Corrected Result		2.610	2.130	1.680	2.790]	
NM-BAPTA	Mean	2.459	2.025	1.583	2.675		Th
	SD	0.034	0.031	0.028	0.039]	Veur euerea
	Number	72	71	72	73		Tour average a
	Uncert.	0.0040	0.0037	0.0033	0.0045]	Previous S
Cobas C Module	Mean	2.459	2.025	1.583	2.675]	
	SD	0.034	0.031	0.028	0.039]	
	Number	72	71	72	73]	
	Uncert.	0.0040	0.0037	0.0033	0.0045]	2
Overall	Mean	2.459	2.027	1.589	2.676]	3
	SD	0.040	0.040	0.031	0.040		2 -
	Number	132	132	132	131]	
	Uncert.	0.0035	0.0035	0.0027	0.0035]	·
Reference Values FAAS / FAES		2.460	2.010	1.580	2.680		о <u>I</u> тс т
Ref. Value Uncertainty		0.0200	0.0200	0.0200	0.0300]	
Non-scoring Reference Values]	M
WeQas SD		0.043	0.039	0.035	0.046		
SDI		** 3.47	** 3.09	** 2.85	** 2.41	** 2.95	

neasurement of your total error and will include both

This Distribution SC0622
Your average analyte SDI for the 4 samples is 2.95

us SDI





Please note: Linear regression uses CF corrected data.

This Distribution SC0622

1.00 1.38 1.77 2.15 2.53 2.92 3.30



Previous Distributions

+

1.38 1.77 2.15 2.53 2.92 3.30 1.00



Precision

This Distribution SC0622 Previous Distributions SC0522 SC0422 TF TE TD TC 0.010 0.012 0.022 0.003 0.011 0.011 Sy.x Sy.x = 0.023 mmol/l IS 2 2 5 0 IS = 7

Sy.x is the average deviation from the best fit line and is an index of scatter.

Accuracy

This Distribution SC0622	Previous Distributions	SC0522	SC0422	TF	TE	TD	TC
Systematic proportional error (calibration) 2 15%	Proportional (%)	3.86	4.70	0.07	-0.01	0.99	0.73
Systematic constant error (blank) 0.073 mmol/l	Constant (mmol/l)	0.017	- 0.005	- 0.004	0.040	0.036	0.012

Bias includes components of proportional and constant errors. A proportional bias suggests an error of calibration whilst a constant bias suggests a blank error. Mixed errors will include significant components of both.

IS score	Interpretation
0 to 10	Good
11 to 150	Acceptable to Warning level
> 150	Unacceptable (including Curvilinear Data)



- Analyte: Calcium (Serum Chemistry)
- **SDI Score:** Overall SDI score 2.95 (Poor). All samples SDI > 2
- Precision: Sy.x 0.023 acceptable. IS 7 good
- Accuracy: y = 1.02 x + 0.07. Proportional bias 2.15%, constant bias +0.073 mmol/L
- **Previous Dist / comments:** Previous SDI graph shows good SDI scores up to TF, scores significantly worse from SC0422. Problem seems to be getting worse.
- Error Identification: Mainly constant error but elements of both proportional and constant.
- **Possible Cause:** Calibration Issue, time expired reagents, instrument zero, instrument blank.

Weqas

Case Study Example – Serum Chemistry - Calcium

- Further Info:
- A scheme organiser letter was sent to laboratory due to the 3 episodes of poor performance.
- Lab replied and found that the 'higher calcium values related to a specific calibration'. This was noted on both of their analysers but more pronounced on Line 1. This was also related to a change in lot number of reagents (this was the predominant reagent in use for the relevant period)

Annotated IQC Chart:





• IQC Charts for Line 1 and Line 2:





 Network IQC Chart – The analyser in question (Line 1) is the blue line – a significant shift can be seen in IQC results around late March:





- Outcomes from Lab:
- Re-training and education of staff reviewing IQC who did not appreciate the significance of the shift in IQC results.
- In discussions regarding the use of 'wider' 'network wide' IQC limits to accommodate performance of analysers across the network.
- Patient results from this period were reviewed and it was felt that no detrimental affects on patient care would have arisen from this performance issue.
- EQA Performance following re-calibration:
- Performance improved significantly following re calibration late June and was acceptable for SC0722, and good for SC0822 and SC0922 – therefore there was no requirement to report the laboratory to the NQAAP.



Lab Code: ## · Section: ####### · Instrument: Architect

Scheme: Serum Chemistry. Distribution Code: SN.							Total Freez				
Distribution Dat). Final. Report Issued: 30/09/20					Total Error					
Bicarbonate (mmol/l)		1	2	3	4	Analyte SDI	CDI is a second state of the state of the second will include the black				
Reported Result		16	18	22	16		SDI is a measurement of your total error and will include both				
Method Corrected Result		16.0	18.0	22.0	16.0	1					
PEP Carboxylase	Mean	19.0	21.3	27.8	21.9	1	This Distribution SN				
	SD	1.1	1.1	1.4	1.3]	Your average analyte SDI for the 4 samples is 3.43				
	Number	87	86	85	85]	Drawiewe ODI				
	Uncert.	0.11	0.12	0.15	0.14		Previous SDI				
Architect	Mean	16.0	18.3	22.7	17.0	1					
	SD	0.8	0.5	0.5	2.2	1	Distribution SN				
	Number	3	3	3	3	1					
	Uncert.	0.47	0.27	0.27	1.25		3				
Overall	Mean	19.3	21.6	28.0	22.1	1					
	SD	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.4	1					
	Number	99	99	95	96	1					
	Uncert.	0.13	0.13	0.15	0.14]	0				
Reference Values]	SH SI SJ SK SL SM SN				
Ref. Value Uncertainty]	Distribution				
Non-scoring Reference Values						1					
WeQas SD		1.2	1.2	1.5	1.3	1	Median — Your SDI 97.5th				
SDI		** -2.57	** -2.62	** -3.89	** -4.63	** 3.43					

Please note: Linear regression uses CF corrected data.

This Distribution SN



Previous Distributions

10 15 20 25 30 35

5



Precision

This Distribution SN	Previous Distributions	SM	SL	SK	SJ	SI	SH
Sv x = 1.3 mmol/l	Sy.x	1.7	1.5	0.8		1.2	0.8
IS = 723	IS	790	198	190	0	74	39

Sy.x is the average deviation from the best fit line and is an index of scatter.

Accuracy

This Distribution SN	Previous Distributions	SM	SL	SK	SJ	SI	SH
Systematic proportional error (calibration) -29 55%	Proportional (%)	-14.29	-6.57	-18.46		10.52	4.55
Systematic constant error (blank) 2.0 mmol/l	Constant (mmol/l)	- 0.1	- 2.2	- 1.0	0.0	- 2.6	0.5

Bias includes components of proportional and constant errors. A proportional bias suggests an error of calibration whilst a constant bias suggests a blank error. Mixed errors will include significant components of both.

S score	Interpretation
0 to 10	Good
11 to 150	Acceptable to Warning level
> 150	Unacceptable (including Curvilinear Data)



- Analyte: Bicarbonate (Serum Chemistry)
- **SDI Score:** Overall SDI score 3.43 (Poor). All samples SDI > 2
- Precision: Sy.x 1.3 unacceptable. IS 723 unacceptable
- Accuracy: y = 0.70 x + 1.97. Proportional bias -29.55%, constant bias +2.0 mmol/L
- Previous Dist / comments: Previous SDI graph shows good SDI scores for SH and SI. Non-return for SJ.
 Poor scores from SK.
- Error Identification: Error of precision and accuracy both proportional and constant error.
- **Possible Cause:** Calibration Issue, time expired reagents, instrument zero, instrument blank.



- Further Info:
- A scheme organiser letter was sent to laboratory due to the 4 episodes of poor performance.
- Lab has noted assay issues for over 6 months. Patient samples are analysed in batches rather than in random access mode.
- Lab found that EQA samples had been analysed on Instrument in question when patient samples were being assayed on another instrument so this assay was not being well controlled at time of EQA
- Also see assay drift after calibration:





- Analyte: Bicarbonate (Serum Chemistry)
- **SDI Score:** Overall SDI score 3.43 (Poor). All samples SDI > 2
- Precision: Sy.x 1.3 unacceptable. IS 723 unacceptable
- Accuracy: y = 0.70 x + 1.97. Proportional bias -29.55%, constant bias +2.0 mmol/L
- Previous Dist / comments: Previous SDI graph shows good SDI scores for dist SH and SI. Non-return for SJ. Poor scores from SK.
- Error Identification: Error of precision and accuracy both proportional and constant error.
- **Possible Cause:** Calibration Issue, time expired reagents, instrument zero, instrument blank.
- Outcome:
- Improve sample handling of EQA samples
- Introduction of aqueous standard for bicarb as supplementary IQC
- Look at ways of improving drift in assay ? More frequent calibration ? Sample throughout and time on analyser

Weqas

Case Study 2 – Lipids – LDL Cholesterol

Lab Code: ## · Section: ####### · Instrument: AU2700/AU5400/AU5800

Schem	Total Error						
Distribution Da	I otal Error						
LDL Cholesterol (mn	nol/l)	1	2	3	4	Analyte SDI	CDI is a manufacture of a
Reported Result			2.15	3.37	1.36		SDI IS a measurement or y
Method Corrected Result			2.150	3.370	1.360		
Friedewald formula	Mean	1.766	1.787	2.897	1.100	1	This Distrib
	SD	0.186	0.122	0.163	0.088]	Your average analyte SE
	Number	17	111	103	109		Denvious ODI
	Uncert.	0.0564	0.0145	0.0201	0.0106		Previous SDI
AU2700/AU5400/AU5800	Mean	1.814	1.908	2.965	1.172	1	
	SD	0.131	0.100	0.191	0.069]	
	Number	5	11	11	11]	
	Uncert.	0.0733	0.0377	0.0718	0.0259		3
Overall	Mean	1.837	1.782	2.855	1.100]	
	SD	0.183	0.118	0.206	0.086]	
	Number	29	124	115	122		1
	Uncert.	0.0425	0.0133	0.0241	0.0097]	
Reference Values]	L396 L397 L398
Ref. Value Uncertainty]	
Non-scoring Reference Values]	
WeQas SD		0.149	0.147	0.178	0.130		Median
SDI			** 2.46	** 2.67	2.00	** 2.38	L

DI is a measurement of your total error and will include both

This Distribution LP0521
our average analyte SDI for the 4 samples is 2.38

Distribution LP0521



Please note: Linear regression uses CF corrected data.

This Distribution LP0521



Previous Distributions

Precision

	This Distribution LP0521	Previous Distributions	LP0421	L400	L399	L398	L397	L396
Sv x = 0.008 mmol/l	Sy.x	0.032	0.050	0.060	0.179	0.097	0.020	
	Sy.x = 0.008 mmoi/i IS = 0	IS	1	7	25	57	13	2

Sy.x is the average deviation from the best fit line and is an index of scatter.

•	CUI	ro.	C1
	υu	l a	6

A

This Distribution LP0521	Previous Distributions	LP0421	L400	L399	L398	L397	L396
Systematic proportional error (calibration) 14 46%	Proportional (%)	0.17	4.38	34.58	14.03	-0.99	3.48
Systematic constant error (blank) 0.104 mmol/l	Constant (mmol/l)	0.452	0.246	- 0.434	- 0.008	- 0.039	- 0.044

Bias includes components of proportional and constant errors. A proportional bias suggests an error of calibration whilst a constant bias suggests a blank error. Mixed errors will include significant components of both.

IS score	Interpretation						
0 to 10	Good						
11 to 150	Acceptable to Warning level						
> 150	Unacceptable (including Curvilinear Data)						



Case Study 2 – Lipids – LDL Cholesterol

- Analyte: LDL Cholesterol (Lipids)
- SDI Score: Overall SDI score 2.38 (Poor). All samples SDI ≥ 2. Sample 1 no return for LDL correct due to high Trig in sample.
- **Precision: Sy.x** 0.008 good. **IS** 0 good
- Accuracy: y = 1.14 x + 0.1. Proportional bias +14.46%, constant bias +0.104 mmol/L
- **Previous Dist / comments:** Previous SDI graph shows worsening performance, poor from dist L400.
- **Error Identification:** Error of accuracy mainly proportional error.
- Possible Cause: Calculated parameter ? Correct Formula used ? Issue with measured analytes used in formula



Case Study 2 – Lipids – Cholesterol Report

Schen	ne: Lipid.						
Cholesterol (mmo	21. Final 1	2 Report 19	3	4	Analyte SDI		
Reported Result	,	5.60	3.90	5.90	2.80		
Method Corrected Result		5.600	3.900	5.900	2.800	1	Total Error
Cholesterol oxidase	Mean	5.121	3.674	5.359	2.634	1	Total Ellor
	SD	0.113	0.093	0.121	0.068	1	SDL is a measurement of your total error and will include b
	Number	119	120	120	120	1	Sor is a measurement of your total entit and will include b
	Uncert.	0.0129	0.0106	0.0138	0.0078	1	
AU2700/AU5400/AU5800	Mean	5.224	3.729	5.537	2.668	1	This Distribution LP0521
	SD	0.147	0.105	0.155	0.072	1	Your average analyte SDI for the 4 samples is 1.66
	Number	10	10	10	10	1	Previous SDI
	Uncert.	0.0582	0.0417	0.0615	0.0284		Flevious SDI
Overall	Mean	5.123	3.677	5.353	2.635	1	
	SD	0.116	0.092	0.128	0.068	1	Distribution LP0521
	Number	126	127	127	127		
	Uncert.	0.0129	0.0102	0.0142	0.0076		3
Reference Values CDC		5.184	3.695	5.392	2.666		
Ref. Value Uncertainty		0.0140	0.0140	0.0490	0.0070	1	1
Non-scoring Reference Values ID-GCMS		5.290	3.690	5.530	2.700		0 L396 L397 L398 L399 L400 LP0421 LP0521
WeQas SD		0.218	0.156	0.228	0.112	1	Distribution
SDI		1.91	1.31	** 2.23	1.20	1.66	
	Si	Median Your SDI 97.5th					
	Critical	Level 1: 5.	0 mmol/l				
Minimum Acceptable score MAPS Allowable TE	1.67 8.5%	Critical Le	evel 1 Sig	ma score		0.2	
MAPS Allowable bias %	4.0%	Lab bias	%			8.3%	
MAPS Allowable CV %	2.7%	Lab CV %	6			0.9%	

Please note: Linear regression uses CF corrected data.

This Distribution LP0521

+

Previous Distributions



2.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 6.00 7.00 8.00



Case Study 2 – Lipids – LDL Cholesterol

- Analyte: LDL Cholesterol (Lipids)
- SDI Score: Overall SDI score 2.38 (Poor). All samples SDI ≥ 2. Sample 1 no return for LDL correct due to high Trig in sample.
- **Precision: Sy.x** 0.008 good. **IS** 0 good
- Accuracy: y = 1.14 x + 0.1. Proportional bias +14.46%, constant bias +0.104 mmol/L
- **Previous Dist / comments:** Previous SDI graph shows worsening performance, poor from dist L400.
- **Error Identification:** Error of accuracy mainly proportional error.
- Possible Cause: Calculated parameter ? Correct Formula used ? Issue with measured analytes used in formula
- **Cholesterol Performance**: SDI on dist LP0521 1.66 positive bias
- Actual Cause: Incorrect calibrator values on Cholesterol used IDGCMS calibrator values not CDC values.



Case Study 3 – Serum Chemistry – CKD-EPI eGFR

Lab Code: ## · Section: ###### · Instrument: AU2700/AU5400/AU5800

Scheme: Seru							
Distribution Date	: 7/09/20.	Final. R	eport Is	sued: 3	0/09/20		Total Error
CKD-EPI eGFR (ml/)	min)	1	2	3	4	Analyte SDI	
Reported Result		33	24	10	85		SDI is a measu
Method Corrected Result	33.0	24.0	10.0	85.0]		
CKD-EPI	Mean	26.4	19.4	8.0	57.3]	
	SD	1.0	0.9	0.1	2.7		Vour ouorogo
	Number	74	75	65	71		rour average
	Uncert.	0.12	0.10	0.01	0.32		Previous S
AU2700/AU5400/AU5800	Mean	28.0	20.3	8.0	61.8]	
	SD	2.7	1.9	0.6	7.5]	
	Number	9	9	8	8		
	Uncert.	0.89	0.63	0.23	2.65		· · ·
Overall	Mean	26.4	19.4	8.0	57.3	1	3
	SD	1.0	0.9	0.1	2.7]	2 -
	Number	74	75	65	71]	1
	Uncert.	0.12	0.10	0.01	0.32		· · · · ·
Reference Values CKD-EPI		26.6	19.7	8.0			o L
Ref. Value Uncertainty]	
Non-scoring Reference Values]	N
WeQas SD		1.2	0.9	0.6	3.1]	h
SDI		** 5.61	** 5.09	** 3.54	** 8.98	** 5.81	

SDI is a measurement of your total error and will include both

This Distribution SN Your average analyte SDI for the 4 samples is 5.81

Previous SDI

Previous Distributions



Please note: Linear regression uses CF corrected data.

This Distribution SN



Precision

This Distribution SN	Previous Distributions	SM	SL	SK	SJ	SI	SH
Sy x = 3.0 ml/min	Sy.x		0.4	0.8	0.0	0.1	0.2
IS = 28	IS	3	1	3	0	0	0

Sy.x is the average deviation from the best fit line and is an index of scatter.

~	C 1			C 1
v	ы	л	a	ω
				_

This Distribution SN	Previous Distributions	SM	SL	SK	SJ	SI	SH
Systematic proportional error (calibration) 54,86%	Proportional (%)	13.66	16.51	15.85	6.65	12.62	24.99
Systematic constant error (blank) - 5.0 ml/min	Constant (ml/min)	0.6	- 0.4	- 0.8	0.6	- 0.0	- 1.0

Bias includes components of proportional and constant errors. A proportional bias suggests an error of calibration whilst a constant bias suggests a blank error. Mixed errors will include significant components of both.

S score	Interpretation
0 to 10	Good
1 to 150	Acceptable to Warning level
> 150	Unacceptable (including Curvilinear Data)



Case Study 3 – Serum Chemistry – CKD-EPI eGFR

- Analyte: CKD-EPI eGFR (Serum Chemistry)
- **SDI Score:** Overall SDI score 5.81 (Poor). All samples SDI > 2
- Precision: Sy.x 3 acceptable. IS 28 acceptable
- Accuracy: y = 1.55 x 5.03. Proportional bias +54.86%, constant bias -5.0
- **Previous Dist / comments:** Previous SDI graph shows consistent poor scores
- Error Identification: Error of accuracy predominantly proportional error.
- **Possible Cause:** Calculated parameter ? Correct Formula used ? Issue with measured analytes used in formula



Case Study 3 – Serum Chemistry – Creatinine Report

Lab Code: ## · Section: ###### · Instrument: AU2700/AU5400/AU5800

Scheme: Ser	rum Chem	istry. Dis	stribution	Code: S	N.		
Creatinine (umol/l)	1 1	2	suea: 50	4	Analyte SDI	
Reported Result		235	307	513	- 58		
Method Corrected Result		235.0	307.0	513.0	58.0		Total Error
Jaffe - IDMS	Mean	276.3	354.7	593.4	83.6		
	SD	11.6	15.0	26.0	5.6		SDI is a measurement of your total error and will include both in
	Number	74	76	76	74	1	
	Uncert.	1.35	1.72	2.98	0.65		This Distribution SN
AU2700/AU5400/AU5800	Mean	258.5	337.3	569.0	74.2	1	Very support of the SDI for the A second side 2.49
	SD	11.9	9.2	16.8	2.5	1	Your average analyte SDI for the 4 samples is 3.48
	Number	12	11	11	11	1	Previous SDI
	Uncert.	3.43	2.77	5.07	0.76	1	
Overall	Mean	279.3	359.2	601.1	83.8	1	Distribution SN
	SD	11.2	13.4	22.4	4.2	1	
	Number	184	181	181	177	1	aR
	Uncert.	0.83	0.99	1.66	0.31	1	
Reference Values		281.2	361.7	602.8			2
ID-GCMS Ref. Value Lineartainty		4.74	2.24	2.74		-	
Nen easing Deference Volues		1.74	2.24	3.14			
Non-sconing Reference values		44.4	45.0	24.2		-	SH SI SJ SK SL SM SN
wegas SD		** 2 72	10.2	34.2	5.0	** 2 40	Distribution
301	Sig	-J./Z na Motriv	-J. 14	-2.33	-4.09	J.40	
	Critical Le	vel 1:75	umol/l				Median — Your SDI 97.5th
Minimum Acceptable score	1.67	Critical	evel 1.S	iama sco	re	0.0	
MAPS Allowable TE	9.5%					0.0	
MAPS Allowable bias %	5.0%	Lab bia	s %			22.3%	
MAPS Allowable CV %	2.7%	Lab CV	%			2.5%	
Please note: Linear regression u	ses CE co	orrected o	lata				

This Distribution SN

Previous Distributions





Case Study 3 – Serum Chemistry – CKD-EPI eGFR

- Analyte: CKD-EPI eGFR (Serum Chemistry)
- **SDI Score:** Overall SDI score 5.81 (Poor). All samples SDI > 2
- Precision: Sy.x 3 acceptable. IS 28 acceptable
- Accuracy: y = 1.55 x 5.03. Proportional bias +54.86%, constant bias -5.0
- **Previous Dist / comments:** Previous SDI graph shows consistent poor scores
- **Error Identification:** Error of accuracy predominantly proportional error.
- **Possible Cause:** Calculated parameter ? Correct Formula used ? Issue with measured analytes used in formula
- **Creatinine Performance**: SDI on dist BZ 3.48
- Actual Cause: Use of paediatric settings on 1 site compared to other site using 'normal' settings resulted in approx. 5% lower Creatinine values. Instrument group mean Negative to reference value – this lab even more Negative than method mean resulting in poor SDI
- Outcome: Settings not changed Manufacturer in to assist Gradual improvement seen. Performance
 now generally acceptable / good